Merge-and-Shrink Heuristics for Classical Planning: Efficient Implementation and Partial Abstractions

Silvan Sievers

July 14, 2018

Motivation

- Given: large (labeled) transition system (your favorite search problem, classical planning task, . . .)



Motivation

- Given: large (labeled) transition system
(your favorite search problem, classical planning task, . . .)



- Goal: compute admissible heuristic, then solve optimally using A^*

Merge-and-shrink: Idea

Factored transition system: set of small transitions systems representing a large transition system (synchronized product)

Merge-and-shrink: Idea

Factored transition system: set of small transitions systems representing a large transition system (synchronized product)



Merge-and-shrink: Idea

Factored transition system: set of small transitions systems representing a large transition system (synchronized product)



Merge-and-shrink: Framework

- Start with atomic factored transition system (one factor for each variable of the problem)
- Repeatedly apply transformation to factored transition system
- Keep factored mapping alongside to represent the abstraction (omitted in the following)

Outline

Representing Transition Systems

- Common approach: adjacency matrix
- Previous implementation: store transitions by labels
  $\rightarrow$ beneficial for all transformations

Representing Transition Systems

- Common approach: adjacency matrix
- Previous implementation: store transitions by labels
  $\rightarrow$ beneficial for all transformations
- New: store label groups of locally equivalent labels



$\rightarrow$ reduce memory pressure

Representing Transition Systems: Example



previous representation
blue: $\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
orange: $\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
green: $\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
purple: $\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$

Representing Transition Systems: Example



previous representation

blue →:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
orange →:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
green →:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
purple →:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$

optimized representation

{blue →, orange →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
{green →}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
{purple →}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$

Transformations: Shrinking



Transformations: Shrinking



Transformations: Shrinking





Transformations: Shrinking





Transformations: Shrinking





	representation
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$

Transformations: Shrinking



representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩, ⟨2, 2⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨2, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩, ⟨1, 2⟩}



representation

Transformations: Shrinking



	representation
{$\rightarrow$, $\rightarrow$}:	$\{\langle 0, 0\rangle, \langle 1, 1\rangle, \langle 2, 2\rangle\}$
{$\rightarrow$}:	$\{\langle 0, 1\rangle, \langle 2, 1\rangle\}$
{$\rightarrow$}:	$\{\langle 1, 0\rangle, \langle 1, 2\rangle\}$



	representation
{$\rightarrow$, $\rightarrow$}:	

Transformations: Shrinking



representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩, ⟨2, 2⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨2, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩, ⟨1, 2⟩}



representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, }

Transformations: Shrinking



representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$



representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$

Transformations: Shrinking



representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle\}$



representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

Transformations: Merging



Transformations: Merging



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

Transformations: Merging



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

Transformations: Merging



representation
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$: $\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow\}$: $\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow\}$: $\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

representation
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$: $\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$: $\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

Transformations: Merging





representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



$\Theta_\otimes$

representation

Transformations: Merging



representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

representation
{→, →}:

Transformations: Merging





representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}



$\Theta_\otimes$

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, }

Transformations: Merging





representation	
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

representation	
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

representation	
$\{\rightarrow, \rightarrow\}$:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle, \langle 2,3\rangle\}$

Transformations: Merging





representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}



$\Theta_{\otimes}$

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨2, 3⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 2⟩, ⟨1, 3⟩}
{→}:	{⟨2, 0⟩, ⟨3, 1⟩}

Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction





Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



	representation
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

	representation
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation

representation

Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation	
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$

representation	
{→}:	$\{\langle 0,1\rangle\}$
{→}:	$\{\langle 1,0\rangle\}$
{→, →}:	$\{\langle 0,0\rangle, \langle 1,1\rangle\}$



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation
{→}:

representation
{→}:

Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}



Θ_1



Θ_2

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨1, 0⟩}

Transformations: Generalized Label Reduction



representation	
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}



representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩}
{→}:	{⟨1, 0⟩}

representation	
{→}:	{⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨1, 0⟩}
{→, →}:	{⟨0, 0⟩, ⟨1, 1⟩}

Algorithm Framework

Merge-and-Shrink in Fast Downward

$F \leftarrow F(\Pi)$ // factored transition system
While $|F| > 1$:
  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \leftarrow \textsc{Select}(F)$
  $\textsc{LabelReduction}(\mathrm{F})$
  $F \leftarrow \textsc{Shrink}(F, \Theta_1, \Theta_2)$
  $F \leftarrow \textsc{Merge}(F, \Theta_1, \Theta_2)$
Return $h_{\Pi}^{\mathrm{M\&S}} \leftarrow h_{\Theta}^{*}$ // Θ: single factor in F

Parameters: transformation strategies, size limits

Remarks

Considering label groups also benefits:

- Computing bisimulation-based shrinking
- Computing symmetry-based merging

Experiments – Previous vs. Optimized Implementation

- Integrate old version into recent Fast Downward
- All results with bisimulation-based shrinking, 50000 states

Experiments – Previous vs. Optimized Implementation

- Integrate old version into recent Fast Downward
- All results with bisimulation-based shrinking, 50000 states

	previous	optimized	difference	
Coverage	733	754	**21**	CGGL
# constr	1387	1467	**80**	
Coverage	768	774	**6**	DFP
# constr	1419	1504	**85**	
Coverage	778	804	**26**	MIASMdfp
# constr	1382	1480	**98**	
Coverage	756	773	**17**	RL
# constr	1433	1515	**82**	

Outline

Motivation

- Efficient implementation increased performance
- But: heuristic computation fails in 151–267 out of 1667 tasks for state-of-the-art configurations

Algorithm – Early Termination

Merge-and-Shrink in Fast Downward

$F \leftarrow F(\Pi)$ // factored transition system

While $|F| > 1$ **and not** $\textsc{ReachedLimit}()$:

$\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \leftarrow \textsc{Select}(F)$

$\textsc{LabelReduction}(F)$

$F \leftarrow \textsc{Shrink}(F, \Theta_1, \Theta_2)$

$F \leftarrow \textsc{Merge}(F, \Theta_1, \Theta_2)$

Return $h_{\Pi}^{\text{M\&S}} \leftarrow \textsc{ComputeHeuristic}(F)$

Algorithm – Early Termination

Merge-and-Shrink in Fast Downward

$F \leftarrow F(\Pi)$ // factored transition system
While $|F| > 1$ **and not** REACHEDLIMIT():
  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \leftarrow$ SELECT(F)
  LABELREDUCTION(F)
  $F \leftarrow$ SHRINK(F, Θ_1, Θ_2)
  $F \leftarrow$ MERGE(F, Θ_1, Θ_2)
Return $h_{\Pi}^{\text{M\&S}} \leftarrow$ COMPUTEHEURISTIC(F)

Termination criteria (REACHEDLIMIT):

- Growing too many transitions in a factor
- Reaching a time limit

Computing the Heuristic from Partial Abstractions

- Given: set of remaining factors and corresponding factored mappings
  $\rightarrow$ set of partial abstractions
- Wanted: merge-and-shrink heuristic

Computing the Heuristic from Partial Abstractions

- Given: set of remaining factors and corresponding factored mappings
  $\rightarrow$ set of partial abstractions
- Wanted: merge-and-shrink heuristic
- Two simple variants:
  - Compute $h^{\text{M\&S}}$ as maximum over heuristics induced by partial abstractions
  - Choose a single "good" heuristic, preferring high initial state heuristic values, breaking ties by favoring larger factors

Experiments – Limiting Transitions

		single heuristic			maximum heuristic			
	base	t2m	t5m	t10m	t2m	t5m	t10m	
Coverage	**804**	775	791	801	775	791	801	MIASMdfp
# constr	1482	**1515**	1493	1490	**1515**	1493	1490	
Coverage	**802**	787	797	**802**	792	798	**802**	sbMIASM
# constr	1400	**1453**	1422	1414	1452	1424	1417	
Coverage	**813**	778	801	811	778	801	811	SCCdfp
# constr	1506	**1532**	1515	1514	**1532**	1515	1512	

Experiments – Limiting Time

		single heuristic			maximum heuristic			
	base	450s	900s	1350s	450s	900s	1350s	
Coverage	804	**835**	832	827	**835**	833	826	MIASMdfp
# constr	1482	**1595**	1591	1568	1592	1590	1566	
Coverage	802	835	835	835	**836**	**836**	835	sbMIASM
# constr	1400	**1637**	1628	1616	1636	1628	1615	
Coverage	813	844	844	840	844	**845**	840	SCCdfp
# constr	1506	**1622**	1620	1608	**1622**	1620	1610	

Conclusions

- Algorithmic view on merge-and-shrink for classical planning
- Efficient implementation in Fast Downward
- Partial abstractions further push efficiency